

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/605,374	09/25/2003	David S Bettinger		2373
26878 DAVID S. BET	7590 03/13/2007 ETTINGER		EXAMINER	
8030 COVENT	ΓRY		MAYEKAR, KISHOR	
GROSSE ILE, MI 48138			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1753	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		03/13/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/605,3	374	BETTINGER ET	AL.			
		Examine	∋ r	Art Unit				
		Kishor M	layekar	1753				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun	ication appears on th	ne cover sheet	with the correspondence ad	ddress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)[]	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on						
, —	•	2b)⊠ This action is	non-final.		,			
<i>,</i> —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims	•						
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application.							
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>11-14, 18 and 19</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)🖂	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10 and 15-17</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or election	requirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	: a) <u>□</u> accepted or t	o) objected t	o by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any obje	ction to the drawing(s)	be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
2) Notice	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F	PTO-948)	Paper N	o(s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:								

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-17, drawn to a bed reactor, classified in class 422, subclass 186.
 - II. Claim 18, drawn to a method of producing singlet oxygen within a reactor, classified in class 204, subclass 157.15.
 - III. Claim 19, drawn to a method of producing single oxygen within two reactor chambers, classified in class 204, subclass 157.15.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions of either of Group II or III and Group I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used in a process for the purification of air with photocatalyst.
- 3. Inventions of Groups II and III are directed to related to a method of producing singlet oxygen. The related inventions are distinct if the (1) the inventions as claimed are

Art Unit: 1753

either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect; (2) the inventions do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; and (3) the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the inventions as claimed have a materially different design. Furthermore, the inventions as claimed do not encompass overlapping subject matter and there is nothing of record to show them to be obvious variants.

- 4. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: the energy means comprising a light source, a laser, a microwave radiation, EMF and magnetic. The species are independent or distinct because they are mutually exclusive.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

Art Unit: 1753

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

6. Claim 18 is generic to the following disclosed patentably distinct species: the energy means comprising a light source, a laser, a microwave radiation, EMF and magnetic. The species are independent or distinct because they are mutually exclusive.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Art Unit: 1753

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of

Page 5

claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of

an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the

election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP §

809.02(a).

7. During a telephone conversation with Attorney/Inventor D. Bettinger on 6 March

2007 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group

I, claims 1-17 and the light source as the species. Affirmation of this election must be

made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 18 and 19 and the species in

claims 11-14 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b),

as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected

invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or

more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a

request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Specification

Art Unit: 1753

- 9. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:
 - o there is no brief description of Figs. B-E;
 - o there are 8 drawings in the Drawings of non-uniform labeling of Figs. B-D and Figs. 1-4 with missing Fig. A;
 - o the typo error in the phrase "(single molecular oxygen) Figure A. Dye-sensitized photolysis." in [0034];
 - o the typo error in the phrase "The beads would be rechanged when" in [0045];
 - o the typo error in the phrase "transfers by contract" in [0049]; and
 - o the word "EMF" without an explanation of the word's meaning.

Appropriate correction is required.

- 10. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.
- 11. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: proper antecedent basis for the subject matter of mechanical and timing means of claim 3.

Application/Control Number: 10/605,374 Page 7

Art Unit: 1753

Claim Rejections - 35 USC \$ 112

12. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- 13. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Claim 16 recites that the fluid bed reactor is provided by magnetic means and removal of singlet oxygen for utility. However, the specification fails to describe what "magnetic means of separation" or its equivalent is for.
- 14. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Claim 16 recites that the fluid bed reactor is provided by magnetic means and removal of

Art Unit: 1753

singlet oxygen for utility. The specification fails to enable to the recitation "magnetic means of separation" when the particulate is a polymer.

15. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

16. Claims 1-10 and 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, the recitation "a reactor chamber possessing means for energy irradiation" is confusing as the chamber does not have such property. The recitation "by contract said energy" is confusing to its meaning and lacking antecedent basis of the energy.

In claim 3, the word "means" is preceded by the word(s) "mechanical and timing" in an attempt to use a "means" clause to recite a claim element as a means for performing a specified function. However, since no function is specified by the word(s) preceding "means," it is impossible to determine the equivalents of the element, as required by 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph. See Ex parte Klumb, 159 USPQ 694 (Bd. App. 1967). Also, the recitation "said energy means absorption process" is lacking antecedent basis and

confusing to the determination of the equivalents of the element (means plus function), as required by 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph.

In claim 5, the recitations "the atoms" and "the additional orbital energy" lack antecedent basis. Also, the missing period"." at the end of the claim.

In claim 9, the recitation "said energy sensitive dye" lacks antecedent basis.

In claim 10, the same is applied to claim 9.

In claim 16, the recitation "magnetic means of separation" is confusing to the determination of the equivalents of the element (means plus function), as required by 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102 and § 103

17. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 18. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been

Art Unit: 1753

obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

19. Claims 1-10 and 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Firnberg et al. (US 5,374,405). Firnberg's invention is directed to a rotating fluidized bed reactor with electromagnetic radiation source. Firnberg disclose that the reactor comprises a reactor chamber, a light source, a first opening, and a second opening (Figs. 1 and 4). As such, Firnberg's teachings anticipate the above claims. If there is a difference, it will be the intended use of the apparatus. The subject matter as a whole would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Firnberg's teachings because it has been held on the intended use of a device that "apparatus claims cover what a device is, not what a device does", Hewlett-Packard Co. v. Bausch & Lomb Inc., 15 USPQ 2d 1525.

As to the subject matter of claim 3, Finberg discloses the fluidized gas can be removed from the second opening, filtered, and recirculated through a pump (col. 6, lines 49-52), which is equivalent to the recited means.

As to the subject matter of claim 16, the motivation to make a specific structure is always related to the properties or uses one skilled in the art would expect the structure

Art Unit: 1753

to have, In re Newell 13 USPQ 2d 1248, Fromson v. Advance Offset Plate 225 USPQ 26; In re Gyurik 201 USPQ 552.

Oath/Declaration

20. The oath or declaration is defective. A new oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.67(a) identifying this application by application number and filing date is required. See MPEP §§ 602.01 and 602.02.

The oath or declaration is defective because: The electronic signature is not placed between forward slashes accordance with 37 CFR 1.4d)(3).

Conclusion

21. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kishor Mayekar whose telephone number is (571) 272-1339. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nam Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-1342. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information

Art Unit: 1753

for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Kishor Mayekar Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1753